

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,495	06/15/2000	ANDREW FRANCIS KIRBY	470044.403	6663
500	7590 03/29/2002	•		
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			EXAMINER	
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092		EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	6
		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1				
		09/529,495	KIRBY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	une 2000 .				
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.				
3)						
Dispositi	on of Claims	-x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 48	03 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ied or b) \square objected to by the Exam	iner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· •			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Intoniano Como 🗥	DTO 440) December 1			
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to method of dispersing an active-insoluble agrochemical principal in aqueous solution.

Group II, claim(s) 7-20, drawn to an agricultural formulation.

Group III, claim(s) 21-47, drawn to a method of making an agrochemical formulation.

Group IV, claim(s) 48-51, drawn to a method of treating a substrate with an active water-insoluble agrochemical principal.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 7, at least, is anticipated by or obvious over US 4,175,066, JP 59051963, JP 04334535, JP 57063124 JP 56089829 or JP62036302. Consequently, the special technical feature which links claims 1-51, the agrochemical formulation, does not provide a contribution to the prior art, so unity of invention is lacking.

Art Unit: 1713

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species and/or subspecies of the multiple generic inventions. These species and/or sub-species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species and sub-species are as follows:

In Group II:

IIa) Species wherein the alternating polymer is in salt form.

IIb)Species wherein the alternating polymer is in acid form.

In Group III:

(A) In the genus of making the agrochemical formulation:

IIIAa) species wherein the combination is milled to obtain an aqueous dispersion (SC).

IIIAb) species wherein the combination is treated to obtain a wettable powder material (WP).

IIIAbi) sub-species wherein the combination is milled to obtain the wettable powder material.

IIIAbii) sub-species wherein the combination is blended to obtain the wettable powder material.

IIIAc) species wherein the combination is agglomerated to obtain water dispersible granular material. (WG).

Art Unit: 1713

(B) In the genus of alternating polymer:

IIIBa)Species wherein the alternating polymer is in salt form.

IIIBb)Species wherein the alternating polymer is in acid form.

If groups II or III are elected, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species (and any corresponding sub-species) for each genus (there being two different genii in group II) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species and/or sub-species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

In Group II:

Ila) claim 14.

Ilb) claim 15.

In Group III:

Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

Page 5

(A) In the genus of making the agrochemical formulation:

IIIAa) 22, 39, 45 and 46.

IIIAb) 23, 24 and 40.

IIIAbi) 23.

IIIAbii) 24.

IIIAc) 25 and 41-44.

(B) In the genus of alternating polymer:

IIIBa)31.

IIIBb)32.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 7 is generic for group II and claim 21 is generic for group III.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not art recognized equivalents.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Eric Gash on 3/27/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1713

Page 6

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (703) 306-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KCE

March 27, 2002